County of King, 883 F.2d 819, 823 (9th Cir. 1989). "A finding of exceptional 1 2 circumstances requires an evaluation of both the 'likelihood of success on the merits and 3 the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved.' Neither of these issues is dispositive and both must be viewed 4 5 together before reaching a decision." Id. (quoting Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 6 1331 (9th Cir. 1986)). 7 Here, it appears that Plaintiff has a sufficient grasp of his case, the legal issues 8 involved, and is able to adequately articulate the basis of his claims. In fact, Plaintiff's pro 9 se pleading has survived the initial screening provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2) and 10 1915A(b). **Conclusion and Order** 11 12 Accordingly, under the circumstances of this case, the Court finds that Plaintiff has 13

Accordingly, under the circumstances of this case, the Court finds that Plaintiff has failed to plead facts sufficient to show the "exceptional circumstances" required for appointment of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) and therefore **DENIES** without prejudice Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) [Doc. No. 34].

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 27, 2009

Hon. William McCurine, Jr. U.S. Magistrate Judge

Milwines

United States District Court

2728

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26